Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

**Mechanics Research Communications** 





CrossMark

iournal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/mechrescom

# Analysis of mechanical and electrical imperfect contacts in piezoelectric composites

J.C. López-Realpozo<sup>a</sup>, R.O. Caballero-Pérez<sup>b</sup>, R. Rodríguez-Ramos<sup>a,\*</sup>, R. Guinovart-Díaz<sup>a</sup>, J. Bravo-Castillero<sup>b</sup>, H. Camacho-Montes<sup>c</sup>, Y. Espinosa-Almeyda<sup>c</sup>, F.J. Sabina<sup>b</sup>, P. Chi-Vinh<sup>d</sup>

 <sup>a</sup> Facultad de Matemática y Computación, Universidad de La Habana, San Lázaro y L, Vedado, Habana 4, CP-10400, La Habana, Cuba
 <sup>b</sup> Instituto de Investigaciones en Matemáticas Aplicadas y en Sistemas, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Apartado Postal 20-126, Delegación de Álvaro Obregón, 01000 CDMX, México
 <sup>c</sup> Instituto de Ingeniería y Tecnología, Universidad Autónoma de Ciudad Juárez, Ciudad Juárez, CP-32310, Chihuahua, México

<sup>d</sup> Faculty of Mathematics, Mechanics and Informatics, Hanoi University of Science, 334 Nguyen Trai Str., Thanh Xuan, Hanoi, Vietnam

# ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 29 July 2017 Received in revised form 1 November 2017 Accepted 2 November 2017 Available online 10 November 2017

Keywords: Piezoelectric composite Imperfect contact Effective coefficient Homogenization method

# ABSTRACT

The problem of a heterogeneous piezoelectric composite with mechanical and electrical imperfect contact conditions is studied by means of the asymptotic homogenization method. As an illustrative example, in order to provide a benchmark for the numerical validation of theoretical models, a one-dimensional two-phase periodic laminate piezoelectric composite is considered with imperfect adhesion. Numerical examples show the effect of interface imperfections on the effective properties. Reductions in the effective Young's modulus, the piezoelectric coupling and the dielectric permittivity under the effect of the imperfect contact conditions are reported herein.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

Piezoelectric composites are of higher sensitivity and lower mechanical losses than single phase materials. Their properties are highly dependent on the constituent's interfaces. Piezoelectric composites are the key component of many types of devices as sensors and/or actuators. The study of contact phenomena and the modeling of solids interfaces are gaining special importance in the composite effective property estimation by means of continuum mechanics. Its applications can be found in different fields like medical science [1], and piezoelectric sensors [2], among others.

Multi-scale approaches are associated with composites where perfect interface conditions are assumed. However, many results show that local or partial debonding at interfaces is a rule rather than the exception in materials such as reinforced composites [3–5]. The existence of a stiff region is only an idealization of the complex phenomenon which occurs at the interface where a transition zone (interphase) between both components is a common occurrence. This third phase results from the material fabrication

\* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* reinaldo@matcom.uh.cu (R. Rodríguez-Ramos).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mechrescom.2017.11.001 0093-6413/© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. process. Different approaches have been used to describe these phenomena [6–9].

In this work, we consider mechanical and electrical imperfect contact conditions, i.e., continuity conditions for the traction and the normal electrical displacement field are satisfied. However, continuity conditions for the mechanic displacement and the electric potential are not fulfilled. The mechanical imperfect contact is considered when the stresses are proportional to the jump of the mechanic displacement, and the electrical imperfect contact when the electric potential across the interface. This mechanical imperfection can be interpreted as the presence of springs between composite phases, so called "mechanical imperfect contact, spring type". The electrical imperfect contact is idealized as the existence of capacitors between constituents, i.e., electric potential difference between phases [10–12].

In the field of piezoelectric materials, due to their intrinsic coupled electro-mechanical behavior, there are induced electric charges, even for pure mechanical loading. Therefore, a key question is whether the existence of an interface electric barrier has any effects on the induced electro-mechanical fields or not.

The interface imperfection condition presented here is quite general, in the sense that any combination of mechanical and electrical imperfections can exist. The capacitor's capacity is a measure of the electrical imperfection. The complete electric barrier is effective when the capacity is equal to zero. When the capacity is large enough, the contact works as a perfect electrical interface. The degree of electrical imperfection is related to the degree of discontinuity in the electric potential function at the interface, i.e., the capacity determines the degree of the electrical imperfection.

The aim of this paper is to describe the role of mechanical and electrical imperfect interfaces on the elastic, piezoelectric and dielectric permittivity properties in piezoelectric materials based on the two scale asymptotic homogenization method (AHM) [12–14]. The complete set of elastic, piezoelectric and dielectric permittivity effective properties for two-phase composite with an electrical capacitor and a mechanical spring as an idealization of the imperfect conditions at the interface is the main contribution of the present work. Here, we expand the AHM formalism for any kind of imperfect contact piezoelectric composite. In addition, as an example, we develop the one dimension laminated composite. It may be used as a benchmark for more complex model validation.

# 2. Heterogeneous piezoelectric problems under electro-elastic imperfect contact

We consider a linear static heterogeneous piezoelectric boundary value problem, which is described by a coupled system of partial differential equations, where the elastic  $C_{ijkl}$ , piezoelectric  $e_{lij}$ , and dielectric permittivity  $d_{ij}$  coefficients are smooth, defined in the domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ ,

$$(C_{ijkl}(\mathbf{x})u_{k,l}(\mathbf{x}) + e_{lij}(\mathbf{x})\varphi_{,l}(\mathbf{x}))_{,j} + X_i = 0,$$
  

$$(e_{ikl}(\mathbf{x})u_{k,l}(\mathbf{x}) - d_{ij}(\mathbf{x})\varphi_{,j}(\mathbf{x}))_{,i} = 0.$$
(1)

This system, Eq. (1), is written in terms of the components of **u** displacement vector and  $\varphi$  electric potential in the domain  $\Omega$  (see Fig. 1(a)), whose boundary is denoted by  $\partial \Omega$ , where  $(\bullet)_j = \partial(\bullet)/\partial x_j$ . Also, Eq. (1) represents the static governing equations  $\forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega$  for the present problem, and its associated boundary conditions are given by

$$u_{i}(\mathbf{x})\big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \sigma_{ij}(\mathbf{x})n_{j}\big|_{\partial\Omega} = S_{i}^{0}, \quad \varphi(x)\big|_{\partial\Omega} = \varphi_{0}, \quad D_{i}(\mathbf{x})n_{i}\big|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$$
(2)

where  $S_i^0$  and  $\varphi_0$  are the prescribed mechanical load vector and electrical potential on  $\partial \Omega$ . Here, *i*, *j*, *k*, *l* = 1, 2, 3 and the summation convention over repeated Latin indices is considered.  $X_i$  and  $n_j$  are the components of the body force vector **X** and the outward unit normal vector **n**.

The corresponding constitutive equations that allow the coupling of piezoelectric materials are

$$\sigma_{ij} = C_{ijkl}\varepsilon_{kl} + e_{lij}E_{,l}, \quad D_i = e_{ikj}\varepsilon_{kj} - d_{il}E_{,l} \tag{3}$$

and the strain-displacement equations and electrical fieldpotential equations for a quasi-static approach are

$$\varepsilon_{kl} = (u_{k,l} + u_{l,k})/2, \quad E_l = -\varphi_{l}.$$
 (4)

In Eqs. (3) and (4),  $\sigma_{ij}$  and  $\varepsilon_{kl}$  are the components of the stress and strain tensors  $\sigma$  and  $\varepsilon$ ;  $E_1$  and  $D_i$  are the components of the electrical field **E** and the electrical displacement vector **D**.

In this work, the imperfect interface  $\Gamma$  is modeled via an idealization of a layer of zero thickness. Tractions components and normal electrical displacement are continuous across the interface. The jumps of the mechanical displacements and the electrical potential are proportional to tractions and normal electric displacement field components.

$$\llbracket \mathbf{T} \rrbracket = \mathbf{0}, \quad \llbracket \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{n} \rrbracket = \mathbf{0}, \quad \text{on} \quad \varGamma$$
(5)

$$\mathbf{T}^{(\gamma)} = (-1)^{\gamma+1} \mathbf{K}[\![\mathbf{u}]\!], \quad \mathbf{D}^{(\gamma)} \mathbf{n}^{(\gamma)} = (-1)^{\gamma} \mathbf{M}[\![\varphi]\!], \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma, \tag{6}$$

where the upper script  $\gamma = 1$ , 2 is associated with each composite constituent. The double bracket represents the jump of the magnitudes at the interface  $[\![\bullet]\!] = \bullet^{(2)} - \bullet^{(1)}$ . Here, the traction vector is denoted by  $\mathbf{T} = \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mathbf{n}$  with  $\mathbf{T} = (T_n \ T_t \ T_s)^T$ . The remaining magnitudes are the mechanical imperfect parameter  $\langle K_n \ 0 \ 0 \rangle$ 

tensor 
$$\mathbf{K} = \begin{pmatrix} K_{t} & 0 \\ 0 & K_{t} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K_{s} \end{pmatrix}$$
, the mechanical displacement vector

 $\mathbf{u} = (u_n \ u_t \ u_s)^T$ , and the electric field vector  $\mathbf{D} = (D_n \ D_t \ D_s)^T$ . The scalar *M* and  $\varphi$  represent the electrical imperfect parameter and the electrical potential, respectively.  $\mathbf{n} = (n_n \ n_t \ n_s)^T$  is the outward unit normal vector. Here, the subscript *n* represents the normal component while *t* and *s* are the tangential components. The upper scripts ()<sup>T</sup> denote the transpose. **K** and *M* are the spring and capacitor constant type material parameters which have dimension of stress divided by length (N/m<sup>3</sup>) and electrical displacement divided by electric potential (F/m<sup>2</sup>), respectively.

# 3. Two scale asymptotic homogenization method

Let us assume that the material properties  $C_{ijkl}$ ,  $e_{lij}$  and  $d_{il}$ are Y-periodic functions, and Y=(0, Y<sub>1</sub>) × (0, Y<sub>2</sub>) × (0, Y<sub>3</sub>) is the so-called periodic cell, see Fig. 1(b). Over Y, the material properties satisfy  $C_{ijkl} = C_{ijkl}(\xi)$ ,  $e_{lij} = e_{lij}(\xi)$  and  $d_{il} = d_{il}(\xi)$ , where  $\xi =$  $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \in Y$  is the so-called local or fast coordinate. Also,  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \Omega$  is referred to as the global coordinate. Here, both scales are related by  $\boldsymbol{\xi} = \mathbf{x}/\alpha$ , where  $\alpha = l/L(\alpha \ll 1)$  is a dimensionless small parameter which represents the ratio between the characteristic lengths of the periodic cell Y, (*l*), and the body as a whole (*L*).

Then, using the relation of scales, the system Eq. (1) can be transformed into a system of partial differential equations:

$$(C_{ijkl}(\mathbf{x}/\alpha)u_{k,l}(\mathbf{x}/\alpha) + e_{lij}(\mathbf{x}/\alpha)\varphi_{,l}(\mathbf{x}/\alpha))_{,j} + X_i = 0,$$
  

$$(e_{ikl}(\mathbf{x}/\alpha)u_{k,l}(\mathbf{x}/\alpha) - d_{ij}(\mathbf{x}/\alpha)\varphi_{,l}(\mathbf{x}/\alpha))_{,j} = 0,$$
(7)

where  $C_{ijkl}$ ,  $e_{lij}$  and  $d_{ij}$  are rapidly oscillating coefficients in the region  $\Omega$ .

The solution of the system, Eq. (7), can be found by asymptotic expansions, as shown in [15],

$$u_i(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha^j u_i^j(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}), \quad \varphi(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha^j \varphi_i^j(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}), \tag{8}$$

where  $u_i^0$  and  $\varphi^0$  are independent functions of  $\xi$ . Also,  $u_i^j$  and  $\varphi^j$  represent corrections that mean variations of  $u_i^0$  and  $\varphi^0$  respectively.

Then, proceeding with the asymptotic formulation, due to the linearity of the problem, the first-order terms of the expansions are

$$u_i^1(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) = {}_{pq} N_i^1(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \partial u_p^0(\mathbf{x}) / \partial x_q + {}_p P_i^1(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \partial \varphi^0(\mathbf{x}) / \partial x_p,$$

$$\varphi^1(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\xi}) = {}_{pq} R^1(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \partial u_p^0(\mathbf{x}) / \partial x_q + {}_p Q^1(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \partial \varphi^0(\mathbf{x}) / \partial x_p,$$
(9)

where the functions denoted as  $_{pq}\mathbf{N} = _{pq}N_i^1(\boldsymbol{\xi}), \ _p\mathbf{P} = _pP_i^1(\boldsymbol{\xi}), \mathbf{R} = R^1(\boldsymbol{\xi})$  and  $\mathbf{Q} = Q^1(\boldsymbol{\xi})$  are the periodic local functions, which are independent of  $\mathbf{x}$ .

Substituting Eq. (8) into Eqs. (6) and (7), it is possible to obtain a set of recurrent problems that permit the general mathematical statement of the so-called local problems, the moduli of electro-elastic effective coefficients, and the equivalent homogenized problem, defined below.

The corresponding local problems under mechanical and electrical imperfect contact conditions, denoted as L and I(p, q = 1, 2, 3), are now formulated.



Fig. 1. (a) Heterogeneous piezoelectric material  $\Omega$ . (b) A representative volume of analysis (periodic cell) Y.

The *L* problems seek to determine the periodic local functions  $p_q N_{\nu}^1$  and  $R^1$  in Y by mean of the following equations

$$_{pq}\sigma_{ij,j}=0, \quad _{pq}D_{i,i}=0, \quad \text{in } Y$$

$$\tag{10}$$

 $\llbracket p_q \mathbf{T} \rrbracket = 0, \quad \llbracket p_q \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{n} \rrbracket = 0, \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma$ (11)

$${}_{pq}\mathbf{T}^{(\gamma)} = (-1)^{\gamma+1}\mathbf{K}\llbracket_{pq}\mathbf{N}\rrbracket, \quad {}_{pq}\mathbf{D}^{(\gamma)}\mathbf{n}^{(\gamma)} = (-1)^{\gamma}M\llbracket_{pq}\mathbf{R}\rrbracket \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma, \quad (12)$$

where each magnitude is written by components as

$$p_{q}\mathbf{T} = \left(p_{q}\sigma_{ij} + C_{ijpq}\right)_{|j}, p_{q}\mathbf{D} = \left(p_{q}D_{i} + e_{ipq}\right)_{|j},$$
  
$$p_{q}\sigma_{ij} = C_{ijkl} \quad p_{q}N_{k|l}^{1} + e_{lij} \quad p_{q}R_{|l}^{1}, \quad \text{and} \quad p_{q}D_{i} = e_{iklpq}N_{l|l}^{1} - d_{ilpq}R_{|l}^{1}.$$

Analogously, in the *I* problems, the local functions  ${}_{p}P_{k}^{1}$  and  $Q^{1}$  are sought in Y, which are results of the equations:

$${}_{p}\sigma_{ij,j} = 0, \quad {}_{p}D_{i,i} = 0, \quad \text{in } Y$$
 (13)

$$\llbracket p\mathbf{T} \rrbracket = \mathbf{0}, \quad \llbracket p\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{n} \rrbracket = \mathbf{0}, \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma$$
(14)

$${}_{p}\mathbf{T}^{(\gamma)} = (-1)^{\gamma+1}\mathbf{K}[\![_{p}\mathbf{P}]\!], \quad {}_{p}\mathbf{D}^{(\gamma)}\mathbf{n}^{(\gamma)} = (-1)^{\gamma}M[\![_{p}\mathbf{Q}]\!], \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma,$$
(15)

where each magnitude has the following components form

$${}_{p}\mathbf{T} = \left({}_{p}\sigma_{ij} + e_{ipq}\right)_{|j}, \quad {}_{p}\mathbf{D} = \left({}_{p}D_{i} + d_{ip}\right)_{|j},$$
$${}_{p}\sigma_{ij} = C_{ijklp}P^{1}_{k|l} + e_{lijp}Q^{1}_{|l}, \quad \text{and} \quad {}_{p}D_{i} = -e_{iklp}P^{1}_{k|l} + d_{ilp}Q^{1}_{|l}.$$

Additionally, the following conditions, over Y, are required to guarantee the unique solution of the local problems, i.e.,

$$\langle pqNk1\rangle = 0, \quad \langle pqR^1\rangle = 0, \quad \langle pPk1\rangle = 0 \text{ and } \langle pQl1\rangle = 0, \quad (16)$$

with  $\langle \bullet \rangle = (1/|Y|) \int_{Y} (\bullet) dY$  denoting the volume average of "•" over Y, and |Y| is the volume of Y,  $(\bullet)_{|i} = \partial(\bullet)/\partial\xi_{i}$ .

For a heterogeneous and periodic medium  $\Omega$  characterized by Y-periodic functions  $C_{ijkl}(\xi)$ ,  $e_{lij}(\xi)$ , and  $d_{il}(\xi)$  the original system Eq. (7) is transformed into a new equivalent system, defined on a homogeneous medium  $\overline{\Omega}$ , where the physical relations are now constant. Hence, the homogenized problem formulation associate is given as

$$\begin{cases} C^*_{ijkl}p_{q}u^{0}_{k,lj}(\mathbf{x}) + e^*_{lij}p_{q}\varphi^{0}_{,lj}(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \\ e^*_{ikl}p_{k,li}^{0}(\mathbf{x}) - d^*_{il}p\varphi^{0}_{,li}(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \end{cases} \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \bar{\Omega}$$

$$(17)$$

where  $C_{ijkl}^*$ ,  $e_{lij}^*$  and  $d_{il}^*$  are called the elastic, piezoelectric, and dielectric effective coefficients. In Eq. (17),  $u_k^0$  and  $\varphi^0$  are the homogenization solutions of the differential equations system.

The system Eq. (17) with the following boundary conditions

$${}_{pq}u_k^0(\mathbf{x})\Big|_{\partial\Omega} = f_1(\mathbf{x}), \quad {}_{pq}\varphi^0(\mathbf{x})\Big|_{\partial\Omega} = f_2(\mathbf{x}), \tag{18}$$

describes the fundamental equations of the theory of the linear piezoelectricity problem for a homogeneous medium  $\overline{\Omega}$ . The functions  $f_1(\mathbf{x})$  and  $f_2(\mathbf{x})$  are infinitely differentiable on  $\overline{\Omega}$ .

The main problem to obtain such average properties and fields is to determine the Y-periodic solutions of the local problems *L* and *I*, that arise on the Y-periodic cell, in terms of the fast variable  $\xi$ [16]. Once they are solved, the effective coefficients  $C^*_{ijpq}$ ,  $e^*_{kij}$ , and  $d^*_{ik}$  can be determined by using the following formulae:

<sup>Th</sup> Associated to the local problems *L*,

$$C_{ijpq}^{*} = \left\langle C_{ijpq} + C_{ijklpq} N_{k|l}^{1} + e_{kijpq} R_{|k}^{1} \right\rangle,$$
(19)

$$e_{ipq}^* = \left\langle e_{ipq} + e_{iklpq} N_{k|l}^1 - d_{ikpq} R_{|k}^1 \right\rangle.$$
<sup>(20)</sup>

Associated to the local problems I,

$$e_{pij}^* = \left\langle e_{pij} + C_{ijklp} P_{k|l}^1 + e_{kijp} Q_{|k}^1 \right\rangle, \qquad (21)$$

$$d_{ip}^{*} = \left\langle d_{ip} - e_{iklp} P_{k|l}^{1} + d_{ikq} Q_{|k}^{1} \right\rangle.$$
(22)

The imperfect contact conditions, Eqs. (12) and (15), have been written  $\forall \mathbf{x} \in \Gamma$  in the coordinate system (*n*, *s*, *t*) depending on the normal and tangential components on  $\Gamma$ .

Hence, the relations among the displacement **u**, and traction **T** vectors, and spring constant **K** are related to their Cartesian representations by the rotational matrices around the  $x_3$ -axis.

Thus, substituting Eq. (8) into Eqs. (5) and (6), and taking into account the  $\theta$  rotation around  $x_3$ -axis, the imperfect contact conditions (Eqs. (12) and (15)) are rewritten as follows

# • pqL local problems

In these problems, analogous expressions to Eqs. (10) and (12) are obtained. Here, the local functions  $p_q \mathbf{N} = \begin{pmatrix} p_q N_n & p_q N_t & p_q N_s \end{pmatrix}^t$  and R are the pseudo-mechanic displacement vector and the pseudo-electric potential scalar. The homogenized components of the pseudo-traction tensor **T** and the pseudo-electric displacement vector **D** are

$${}_{pq}T_{1}^{(\gamma)} = \left( {}_{pq}T_{n}^{(\gamma)} + C_{ijpq}^{(\gamma)} \right) n_{j}^{(\gamma)}, \quad {}_{pq}T_{2}^{(\gamma)} = \left( {}_{pq}T_{t}^{(\gamma)} + C_{ijpq}^{(\gamma)} \right) n_{j}^{(\gamma)},$$

$${}_{pq}T_{3}^{(\gamma)} = \left( {}_{pq}T_{s}^{(\gamma)} + C_{ijpq}^{(\gamma)} \right) n_{j}^{(\gamma)}, \quad {}_{pq}D_{i}^{(\gamma)} = \left( e_{ipq}^{(\gamma)} + C_{ijklpq}^{(\gamma)} N_{k|l}^{(\gamma)} + e_{ipqpq}^{(\gamma)} P_{l}^{(\gamma)} \right) n_{j}^{(\gamma)}$$

# • pI local problems

Similarly, equivalent expressions to Eqs. (13) and (15) are obtained. Here, the local functions  ${}_{p}\mathbf{R} = \begin{pmatrix} {}_{p}R_{n} {}_{p}R_{t} {}_{p}R_{s} \end{pmatrix}^{t}$  and Qare the pseudo-mechanic displacement vector and the pseudo-electric potential scalar. The homogenized components of the pseudo-



**Fig. 2.** (a) Heterogeneous laminate piezoelectric material. (b) One-dimensional piezoelectric composite.

traction tensor  ${\bf T}$  and the pseudo-electric displacement vector  ${\bf D}$  are

$${}_{p}T_{1}^{(\gamma)} = \left({}_{p}T_{n}^{(\gamma)} + e_{ijp}^{(\gamma)}\right)n_{j}^{(\gamma)}, \quad {}_{p}T_{2}^{(\gamma)} = \left({}_{p}T_{t}^{(\gamma)} + e_{ijp}^{(\gamma)}\right)n_{j}^{(\gamma)},$$
$${}_{p}T_{3}^{(\gamma)} = \left({}_{p}T_{s}^{(\gamma)} + e_{ijp}^{(\gamma)}\right)n_{j}^{(\gamma)}, \quad {}_{p}D_{i}^{(\gamma)} = \left(e_{ijp}^{(\gamma)} + e_{ikl}^{(\gamma)}N_{k|l}^{(\gamma)} - d_{ip}^{(\gamma)}{}_{p}P_{l}^{(\gamma)}\right)n_{j}^{(\gamma)}$$

Thus, the local problem formulation, i.e., Eqs. (10) and (13), with the corresponding imperfect contact conditions on coordinate system (n, s, t) on  $\Gamma$  allows to find the local functions **N**, **P**, **R**, and **Q**. Once these local functions are obtained, the effective coefficients  $C_{iikl}^*$ ,  $e_{ii}^*$  and  $d_{il}^*$  can be computed by the expressions Eqs. (19)–(22).

# 4. An example of one-dimensional piezoelectric composite with imperfect contact conditions

As an illustrative example, in order to provide a numerical benchmark for the validation of theoretical models, we consider a laminate piezoelectric composite where the layers are distributed perpendicular to the  $x_3$ -axis (Fig. 2(a)). Particularly, a one-dimensional composite made by two components (Fig. 2(b)) is analyzed. Here, the regions occupied by the components in the unit periodic cell Y are denoted by  $Y_{\gamma}$  with  $\gamma = 1, 2$ ; the local variable  $\xi \in [0; 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ , with  $\mathbb{R} = \{0\} \cup \{Y_1\} \cup \{Y_2\} \cup \{1\}, Y_1 = (0;V_1)$  and  $Y_2 = (V_1; 1)$ .  $E_{\gamma}, e_{\gamma}$ , and  $d_{\gamma}$  denote Young's modulus, piezoelectric and dielectric coefficients for each component, respectively. From now on, the local variable  $\xi$  will not be written.

For this problem, the 1-periodic local functions are declared as N, P, R, and Q. Following the previous procedure, these local functions are determined by means of the one-dimensional local problems, denoted as L and I, as result of the formulation given in Eqs. (10) and (13), in  $Y_{\gamma}$ .

Hence, the one-dimensional local problems are formulated as follows,

$$L: \begin{cases} d/d\xi \left[ E_{\gamma} + d/d\xi \left( E_{\gamma} N^{(\gamma)} + e_{\gamma} R^{(\gamma)} \right) \right] = 0, \\ d/d\xi \left[ e_{\gamma} + d/d\xi \left( e_{\gamma} N^{(\gamma)} - d_{\gamma} R^{(\gamma)} \right) \right] = 0, \end{cases}$$
(23)  
$$I: \begin{cases} d/d\xi \left[ e_{\gamma} + d/d\xi \left( E_{\gamma} P^{(\gamma)} + e_{\gamma} Q^{(\gamma)} \right) \right] = 0, \\ d/d\xi \left[ d_{\gamma} - d/d\xi \left( e_{\gamma} P^{(\gamma)} - d_{\gamma} Q^{(\gamma)} \right) \right] = 0, \end{cases}$$
(24)

under the uniqueness conditions and periodicity of the local functions

 $N^{(\gamma)}(0) = 0, R^{(\gamma)}(0) = 0,$ 

$$N^{(1)}(0) = N^{(2)}(1), R^{(1)}(0) = R^{(2)}(1)$$
 (25)

$$P^{(r)}(0) = 0, Q^{(r)}(0) = 0,$$
  

$$P^{(1)}(0) = P^{(2)}(1), Q^{(1)}(0) = Q^{(2)}(1)$$
for *I* local problem (26)

Additionally, the imperfect contact conditions, defined in Eqs. (11), (12), (14) and (15), can be written on  $\xi = V_1$  as follows

## • Associated to the local problem L

$$\begin{cases} E_{1} + d/d\xi(E_{1}N^{(1)} + e_{1}R^{(1)}) - E_{2} - d/d\xi(E_{2}N^{(2)} + e_{2}R^{(2)}) = 0, \\ e_{1} + d/d\xi(e_{1}N^{(1)} - d_{1}R^{(1)}) - e_{2} - d/d\xi(e_{2}N^{(2)} - d_{2}R^{(2)}) = 0, \\ E_{\gamma} + d/d\xi(E_{\gamma}N^{(\gamma)} + e_{\gamma}R^{(\gamma)}) = (-1)^{\gamma+1}K[\![N]\!], \\ e_{\gamma} + d/d\xi(e_{\gamma}N^{(\gamma)} - d_{\gamma}R^{(\gamma)}) = (-1)^{\gamma+1}M[\![R]\!], \end{cases}$$
(27)

• Associated to the local problem I

$$\begin{cases} e_{1} + d/d\xi(E_{1}P^{(1)} + e_{1}Q^{(1)}) - e_{2} - d/d\xi(E_{2}P^{(2)} + e_{2}Q^{(2)}) = 0, \\ d_{1} - d/d\xi(e_{1}P^{(1)} - d_{1}Q^{(1)}) - d_{2} + d/d\xi(e_{2}P^{(2)} - d_{2}Q^{(2)}) = 0, \\ e_{\gamma} + d/d\xi(E_{\gamma}P^{(\gamma)} + e_{\gamma}Q^{(\gamma)}) = (-1)^{\gamma+1}K[\![P]\!], \\ d_{\gamma} - d/d\xi(e_{\gamma}P^{(\gamma)} - d_{\gamma}Q^{(\gamma)}) = (-1)^{\gamma}M[\![Q]\!], \end{cases}$$
 or  $\xi = \tau$ .

Then, from Eqs. (23) and (24), a homogeneous system, Eqs. (27) and (28), is derived and the solutions are obtained. Here, the coefficients  $E_{\gamma}$ ,  $e_{\gamma}$  and  $d_{\gamma}$  are constants over Y. Also, the expressions for each local functions as a linear function of  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  can be written as  $N^{(\gamma)} = A_1^{(\gamma)} \boldsymbol{\xi} + A_2^{(\gamma)}$ ,  $R^{(\gamma)} = A_3^{(\gamma)} \boldsymbol{\xi} + A_4^{(\gamma)}$ ,  $P^{(\gamma)} = B_1^{(\gamma)} \boldsymbol{\xi} + B_2^{(\gamma)}$  and  $Q^{(\gamma)} = B_3^{(\gamma)} \boldsymbol{\xi} + B_4^{(\gamma)}$ . Using (25) and (26), the coefficients  $A_{\alpha}^{(\gamma)}$  and  $B_{\alpha}^{(\gamma)}(\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4)$  follow the linear relations:

$$A_1^{(2)} = -A_2^{(2)}, \quad A_3^{(2)} = -A_4^{(2)}$$
 (29)

$$B_1^{(2)} = -B_2^{(2)}, \quad B_3^{(2)} = -B_4^{(2)}$$
 (30)

The contact condition over the interface given in Eq. (27) and the relations (29) yield the system of linear equations

$$\begin{bmatrix} -(KV_1 + E_1) & -KV_2 & -e_1 & 0 \\ -KV_1 & -(KV_2 + E_2) & 0 & -e_2 \\ -e_1 & 0 & MV_1 + d_1 & MV_2 \\ 0 & -e_2 & MV_1 & MV_2 + d_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_1^{(1)} \\ A_1^{(2)} \\ A_3^{(1)} \\ A_3^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E_1 \\ E_2 \\ e_1 \\ e_2 \end{bmatrix} (31)$$

In the same way, dealing with the Eqs. (28) and (30), we obtain the following corresponding linear system of equation

$$\begin{array}{cccc} -(KV_1 + E_1) & -KV_2 & -e_1 & 0 \\ -KV_1 & -(KV_2 + E_2) & 0 & -e_2 \\ -e_1 & 0 & MV_1 + d_1 & MV_2 \\ 0 & -e_2 & MV_1 & MV_2 + d_2 \end{array} \begin{bmatrix} B_1^{(1)} \\ B_1^{(2)} \\ B_3^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ -d_1 \\ -d_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
(32)

The volume fractions of each component are  $V_1$ , and  $V_2 = 1 - V_1$ . The effective coefficients for one-dimensional piezoelectric composites are derived from Eqs. (19) and (22), as

$$L: \begin{cases} E^{*} = \langle E \rangle + (E_{1}V_{1}A_{1}^{(1)} + E_{2}V_{2}A_{1}^{(2)}) + (e_{1}V_{1}A_{3}^{(1)} + e_{2}V_{2}A_{3}^{(2)}), \\ e^{*} = \langle e \rangle + (e_{1}V_{1}A_{1}^{(1)} + e_{2}V_{2}A_{1}^{(2)}) - (d_{1}V_{1}A_{3}^{(1)} + d_{2}V_{2}A_{3}^{(2)}), \\ e^{*} = \langle e \rangle + (E_{1}V_{1}B_{1}^{(1)} + E_{2}V_{2}B_{1}^{(2)}) + (e_{1}V_{1}B_{3}^{(1)} + e_{2}V_{2}B_{3}^{(2)}), \\ d^{*} = \langle d \rangle - (e_{1}V_{1}B_{1}^{(1)} + e_{2}V_{2}B_{1}^{(2)}) + (d_{1}V_{1}B_{3}^{(1)} + d_{2}V_{2}B_{3}^{(2)}). \end{cases}$$
(33)

Finally, using the solutions of the systems Eqs. (31), (32), the effective coefficients can be written as

$$E^* = \frac{\langle E/\tau \rangle + 1/M}{\Delta},\tag{34}$$

$$e^* = \frac{\langle e/\tau \rangle}{\Delta},\tag{35}$$

$$d^* = \frac{\langle d/\tau \rangle + 1/K}{\Delta},\tag{36}$$

where

$$\Delta = (\langle E/\tau \rangle + 1/M)(\langle d/\tau \rangle + 1/M) + \langle e/\tau \rangle^2, \ \tau_{\gamma} = E_{\gamma}d_{\gamma} + e_{\gamma}^2 \text{ and } \gamma = 1, 2.$$

# Table 1

Effective properties for composite C-91/P-82 using the expression from [2] and the present model,  $K = 10^7$  (GPa/m) and  $M = 10^7$  ( $10^{-10}$  F/m<sup>2</sup>).

	Mechanical and electrical perfect contact conditions								
	Ε	E* (GPa)	e* (C/m²)		(d <sup>*</sup> 10 <sup>-10</sup> F/m)				
V1	Ref. [17]	Present model	Ref. [17]	Present model	Ref. [17]	Present Model			
0.0	118.300	118.299	26.400	26.399	110.900	110.899			
0.4	116.953	116.952	24.806	24.805	148.056	148.054			
0.8	115.401	115.400	22.634	22.633	200.835	200.832			
1.0	114.500	114.499	21.200	21.199	236.600	236.595			

#### Table 2

Influence of mechanical imperfection parameter in the effective elastic coefficient considering electrical perfect contact.

	Young modulus $E^*$ for M = 10 <sup>7</sup> (10 <sup>-10</sup> F/m <sup>2</sup> )								
		Mechanical imperfection K (GPa/m) variation							
$V_1$	$10^5$ $10^4$ $10^3$ $10^2$ $10^1$								
0.0	118.16	116.92	105.79	54.192	9.221	0.992			
0.4	116.82	115.60	104.71	53.907	9.212	0.992			
0.8	115.27	114.09	103.46	53.575	9.203	0.991			
1.0	114.37	113.20	102.74	53.380	9.197	0.991			

#### Table 3

Influence of electrical imperfection parameter in the effective coefficient considering mechanical perfect contact.

	Young modulus $E^*$ for K = 10 <sup>7</sup> (GPa/m)								
	Electrical imperfection M ( $10^{-10}$ F/m <sup>2</sup> ) variation								
$V_1$	$10^5$ $10^4$ $10^3$ $10^2$ $10^1$ $10$								
0.0	118.37	118.99	124.57	151.34	175.94	180.58			
0.4	117.01	117.56	122.31	141.76	155.88	158.23			
0.8	115.45	115.90	119.67	132.43	139.70	140.78			
1.0	114.54	114.94	118.13	127.85	132.72	133.41			

# 5. Validation and numerical results

The expression (Eqs. (12) and (15)) of the *L* and *I* local problems defined on  $\Gamma$  are rewritten as

$$(-1)^{\gamma+1}{}_{pq}\mathbf{T}^{(\gamma)}[\![p_{q}\mathbf{N}]\!]^{-1} = \mathbf{K}, \quad (-1)^{\gamma}{}_{pq}\mathbf{D}^{(\gamma)}\mathbf{n}^{(\gamma)}[\![p_{q}\mathbf{R}]\!]^{-1} = M,$$
(37)

$$(-1)^{\gamma+1}{}_{p}\mathbf{T}^{(\gamma)}\llbracket_{p}\mathbf{P}\rrbracket^{-1} = \mathbf{K}, \quad (-1)^{\gamma}{}_{p}\mathbf{D}^{(\gamma)}\mathbf{n}^{(\gamma)}\llbracket_{p}\mathbf{Q}\rrbracket^{-1} = M.$$
(38)

From Eqs. (37) and (38), we notice that the continuity condition of the local functions is satisfied when the mechanical or electrical imperfection parameters approach to infinity, i.e., the perfect contact condition between the constituents of the composite is established. Hence, the effective coefficients, Eqs. (34)–(36), are analytically reduced to those reported in Ref. [17] with large enough values for the imperfect parameters *K* and *M*. Eqs. (34)–(36) show the significant role of mechanical *K* and electrical *M* imperfection parameters for the expressions in the effective coefficients of the composite.

For the numerical analysis, we considered a composite (Fig. 2) whose constituents are C-91 and P-82 [18]. The data used for computations, for C-91 (P-82), are E = 114.5(118.3) GPa, e = 21.2(26.4) C/m<sup>2</sup> and  $d = 236.6(110.9) \times 10^{-10}$  C/Vm. Table 1 shows a comparison of the numerical output of the present model for K = 10<sup>7</sup> GPa/m and M = 10<sup>-3</sup> GPa/m with the values calculated using the expressions reported in Ref. [17]. Here, mechanical and electrical perfect contacts are considered. Also, the effective properties for the Young modulus, piezoelectric and dielectric permittivity for different A (C-91) volume fractions  $V_f$  are shown. We observe a good match between the two models.

# Table 4

Influence of mechanical imperfection parameter in the effective piezoelectric coefficient considering electrical perfect contact.

	Effective piezoelectric $e^*$ for M = 10 <sup>7</sup> (10 <sup>-10</sup> F/m <sup>2</sup> )								
	Mechanical imperfection K (GPa/m) variation								
$V_1$	10 <sup>5</sup>	10 <sup>4</sup>	10 <sup>3</sup>	10 <sup>2</sup>	10 <sup>1</sup>	10 <sup>0</sup>			
0.0	26.369	26.091	23.607	12.093	2.058	0.221			
0.4	24.777	24.519	22.208	11.434	1.954	0.210			
0.8	22.607	22.375	20.292	10.507	1.805	0.194			
1.0	21.175	20.960	19.022	9.883	1.703	0.184			

#### Table 5

Influence of electrical imperfection parameter in the effective piezoelectric coefficient considering mechanical perfect contact.

	Effective piezoelectric $e^*$ for K = 10 <sup>7</sup> (GPa/m)								
	Electrical imperfection M (10 <sup>-10</sup> F/m <sup>2</sup> ) variation								
$V_1$	$10^5$ $10^4$ $10^3$ $10^2$ $10^1$								
0.0	26.370	26.110	23.764	12.518	2.184	0.236			
0.4	24.769	24.444	21.607	10.000	1.569	0.166			
0.8	22.588	22.188	18.848	7.524	1.074	0.112			
1.0	21.150         20.710         17.144         6.298         0.860         0.085								

# Table 6

Influence of mechanical imperfection parameter in the effective dielectric permittivity coefficient considering electrical perfect contact.

	Effective dielectric permittivity $d^*$ for M = 10 <sup>7</sup> (10 <sup>-10</sup> F/m <sup>2</sup> )								
	Mechanical imperfection K (GPa/m) variation								
$V_1$	$10^5$ $10^4$ $10^3$ $10^2$ $10^1$								
0.0	110.97	111.59	117.13	142.82	165.22	169.32			
0.4	148.12	148.66	153.56	176.42	196.53	200.22			
0.8	200.88	201.34	205.42	224.61	241.68	244.84			
1.0	236.64	237.04	240.63	257.55	272.69	275.50			

#### Table 7

Influence of imperfection electrical parameter in the effective dielectric permittivity coefficient under mechanical perfect contact.

	Effective dielectric $d^*$ for $K = 10^7$ (GPa/m)								
	Electrical imperfection M ( $10^{-10}$ F/m <sup>2</sup> ) variation								
$V_1$	$10^5$ $10^4$ $10^3$ $10^2$ $10^1$ $10^3$								
0.0	110.78	109.68	99.830	52.584	9.173	0.991			
0.4	147.84	145.90	128.96	59.687	9.367	0.993			
0.8	200.43	196.88	167.25	66.759	9.525	0.995			
1.0	236.04         231.13         191.33         70.291         9.595         0.99								

Tables 2–7 show the effect of the mechanical and electrical imperfections on the effective coefficients of the composite for different contact conditions and for A (C-91) volume fraction  $V_f$  running for 0.0, 0.4, 0.8 and 1.0. In all tables, it can be seen that the influence of the imperfection is marked, regardless of the constituent volume fraction.

Table 8	5
---------	---

Influence of simultaneous mechanical and electrical imperfection parameters in the effective piezoelectric coefficients.

Effective piezoelectric moduli for different combinations of mechanical K (GPa/m) and electrical M ( $10^{-10}$ F/m <sup>2</sup> ) imperfections						
К	М	V <sub>1</sub>	$E^*$	e*	$d^*$	
10 <sup>2</sup>	107	0.4	53.907	11.434	176.415	
	10 <sup>5</sup>		53.920	11.414	176.108	
	10 <sup>3</sup>		55.018	9.719	149.962	
	10 <sup>1</sup>		60.920	0.613	9.463	
10 <sup>7</sup>	10 <sup>2</sup>	0.4	141.757	10.000	59.687	
10 <sup>5</sup>			141.559	9.986	59.697	
10 <sup>3</sup>			124.159	8.759	60.562	
10 <sup>1</sup>			9.341	0.659	66.276	

As reported in Table 2, the effective Young modulus  $E^*$  changes for different values of mechanical imperfection, as measured by the parameter *K* under electrical perfect contact. As *K* decreases, the Young modulus decreases. This is an expected result, because the mechanical imperfection is considered as a spring at the interface, and the softer (lower value of *K*) this spring is, the weaker the transmission of the mechanical impulse between the phases turns out to be. It implies a lower composite Young modulus. For any fixed *K* value, the  $V_f$  tendency of the effective Young modulus is the same as the one observed for the perfect contact case.

Table 3 shows the effect of the electrical imperfection M on the Young modulus  $E^*$  for a mechanical perfect contact. It can be observed that the Young modulus is considerably affected by the electrical imperfection. Nonetheless, the effect of the mechanical imperfection in Table 2 is 4 orders of magnitude greater, which is expected.

In Tables 4 and 5, the influence of the mechanical and electrical imperfect contact conditions on effective piezoelectric coefficients is shown. The same influence is reported in Tables 6 and 7 for the effective dielectric constant. We observe that the dielectric permittivity is more sensitive to changes in the electrical imperfection than to changes in the mechanical imperfection. This is consistent with the fact that the effective Young's modulus is more sensitive to K and it is also an expected result. Table 8 reports the combined effect of mechanical and electrical imperfections on the effective properties for the volume fraction equal to 0.4. As with Tables 1–5 where one the mechanical and electrical fields can be considered continuous, we see that that the variation in one of the imperfection constants causes a decrease in orders of magnitude of one of the effective coefficients while causing a slight increase in the other effective property. In both cases, decreasing M or decreasing K, we see a decrease of the piezoelectric property.

# 6. Conclusions

The formulation of imperfect spring and capacitor types is studied for piezoelectric composites. Local problems under mechanical and electrical imperfect contact conditions are derived using the two-scale homogenization method. The general analytic expressions for the elastic, piezoelectric and dielectric permittivity effective coefficients are derived, and a particular example for one-dimensional piezoelectric composite under mechanical and electrical imperfect contacts conditions is reported. The effective coefficients depend on the properties of the constituents, their volume fractions, the mechanical and electrical imperfect parameters. The formulae of the effective coefficients are able to reduce to those expressions reported for perfect constituents contact when the imperfect parameters tend to infinity. The mechanical and electrical imperfect parameters affect significantly the behavior of the effective coefficients  $E^*$ ,  $e^*$  and  $d^*$  in the composite. This effect can be described as a weakening of the effective properties due to constituent debonding.

# Acknowledgments

The authors thank the financial support for a sabbatical stay "CONACYT – 253087" performed at the Autonomous University of Ciudad Juárez. Rodríguez-Ramos, R. wants to thank the Vietnam Institute for Advanced Study in Mathematics (VIASM) for the invitation and the University of Central Florida, Orlando, where the manuscript was improved according to the review report. Espinosa-Almeyda, Y. and Caballero-Pérez, R.O. would like to thank CONACYT for financial support during their PhD studies. Proyecto Nacional de Ciencias Básicas, Cuba 2015–2018 are gratefully acknowledged. The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments. The authors also would like to thank the project CONACYT – CB-2016-01 285241.

#### References

- A. Ramírez-Torres, R. Rodríguez-Ramos, J. Merodio, J. Bravo-Castillero, R. Guinovart-Díaz, J.C.L. Alfonso, Mathematical modeling of anisotropic tumor growth, Mech. Res. Commun. 69 (2015) 8–14.
- [2] K. Nguyen-Quang, H. Dang-Trung, V. Ho-Huu, H. Luong-Van, T. Nguyen-Thoi, Analysis and control of FGM plates integrated with piezoelectric sensors and actuators using cell-based smoothed discrete shear gap method (CS-DSG3), Compos. Struct. 165 (2017) 115–129.
- [3] Y. Benveniste, T. Chen, On the Saint-Venaat torsion of composite bars with imperfect interfaces, Proc. Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 457 (2001) 231–255.
- [4] B. Miara, E. Rohan, M. Zidi, B. Labat, Piezomaterials for bone regeneration design-homogenization approach, J. Mech. Phys. Solids 53 (2005) 2529–2556.
- [5] H.K. Lee, S.H. Pyo, Micromechanics-based elastic damage modeling of particulate composites with weakened interfaces, Int. J. Solids Struct. 44 (2007) 8390–8406.
- [6] Z. Hashin, Thermoelastic properties of fiber composite with imperfect interface, Mech. Mater. 8 (1990) 333–338.
- [7] Y. Xu, J. Xiao, J. Jia, P. Qiu, Q. Zhao, An analytical method for piezoelectric composites containing doubly periodic piezoelectric fibers with ring-shaped cross-section under antiplane shear and its application, Comput. Mater. Sci 88 (2014) 7–13.
- [8] L.-L. Ke, J. Yang, S. Kitipornchai, Y.-S. Wang, Electro-mechanical frictionless contact behavior of a functionally graded piezoelectric layered half-plane under a rigid punch, Int. J. Solids Struct. 45 (2008) 3313–3333.
- [9] Q.-H. Qin, Y.-W. Mai, A closed crack tip model for interface cracks in thermopiezoelectric materials, Int. J. Solids Struct. 36 (1999) 2463–2479.
- [10] M.T. Kamali, H.M. Shodja, A semi-analytic method for piezocomposite structures with arbitrary interfaces, Comput. Meth. Appl. Mech. Eng. 194 (2005) 4588–4604.
- [11] H.M. Shodja, S.M. Tabatabaei, M.T. Kamali, A piezoelectric-inhomogeneity system with imperfect interface, Int. J. Eng. Sci. 44 (2006) 291–311.
- [12] R. Rodríguez-Ramos, R. Guinovart-Díaz, J.C. López-Realpozo, J. Bravo-Castillero, F.J. Sabina, F. Lebon, S. Dumont, M. Würkner, H. Berger, U. Gabbert, Characterization of piezoelectric composites with mechanical and electrical imperfect contacts, J. Compos. Mater. 50 (12) (2016) 1603–1625.
- [13] E. Sanchez-Palencia, Non Homogeneous Media and Vibration Theory. Lecture Notes in Physics, vol. 127, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [14] B.E. Pobedria, Mechanics of Composite Materials, Moscow State University Press, Moscow, 1984 (in Russian).
- [15] A. Galka, J.J. Telega, R. Wojnar, Homogenization and thermopiezoelectricity, Mech. Res. Commun. 19 (1992) 315–321.

- [16] F.J. Sabina, R. Rodríguez-Ramos, J. Bravo-Castillero, R. Guinovart-Díaz, Closed-form expressions for the effective coefficients of fiber-reinforced composite with transversely isotropic constituents – II: Piezoelectric and hexagonal symmetry, J. Mech. Phys. Solids 49 (2001) 1463–1479.
   [17] J.A. Otero, J. Bravo-Castillero, R. Rodríguez-Ramos, Homogenization of
- [17] J.A. Otero, J. Bravo-Castillero, R. Rodríguez-Ramos, Homogenization of heterogeneous piezoelectric mediums, Mech. Res. Commun. 24 (1) (1997) 75–94.
- [18] F. Narita, Y. Shindo, M. Mikami, Analytical and experimental study of nonlinear bending response and domain wall motion in piezoelectric laminated actuators under ac electric fields, Acta Mater. 53 (2005) 4523–4529.