

### ***Responses to Reviewers' Comments:***

Dear reviewers, I am very grateful for your observations as you have contributed to improving my manuscript with your valuable and detailed comments. Below I present each observation with the response to the modification made (in red color); in addition, in the manuscript's text, all the changes made are highlighted in green.

I hope you like my new proposal,

1. It is important that the abstract reflects the topic and content of the chapter. There is the post-pandemic tourism context that is missing in the abstract. **The topic in the first sentence and the post-pandemic tourism context were included.**
2. The abstract needs to include the following aspects which are missing: the research problem, objectives as well as the findings of the study. **The research problem, objectives as well as the findings of the study were included or clarified.**
3. The introduction section can be improved by examining the sustainable tourism approaches in protected areas globally **Done**
4. Link the study to Environmental sustainability aspects as well as the SDGs (either SDG 7,12,13, 14 and 15) **Done**
5. The goals and objectives are clearly stated **OK**
6. It is important to build and structure the literature review section to review: - **It was modified according to the proposed structure; new information, quotes, and references were included in the new text. The new references are highlighted in color**
  - n Environmental protection and the sustainability of natural landscapes
  - n Tourist behaviour and Sustainability in PAs.
  - n Tourist behaviour in the post pandemic context
7. Avoid too many direct **word to word quotations** from the literature sources. You have overquoted the following sources that need to be reviewed:- **Some changes were done where it was possible**
  - n Chhetri and Chhetri (2022)
  - n Wu et al. 2022
  - n UNWTO 2013
  - n Jafari and Xiao (Not indicated year of publication) **It was changed in the quotation**
  - n Cepollaro and Zanon 2022
  - n UNEP – UNWTO 2005
  - n CONANP 2013 p. 112 **This source is essential; it is the master plan and the indications for working in this PNA. The managers requested to base themselves on these documents to update them.**
  - n CONANP 2016 **This source is essential; it is the master plan and the indications for working in this PNA. The managers requested to base themselves on these documents to update them.**
  - n McGinlay et al. 2020)
8. The findings section needs to be well structured and additionally based on the research questions of the study ie. **The research questions were modified according to the reach of the presented result. This research is part of a larger project, so the questions of the general project were maintained, not those of this specific report.**
  - n Current tourism model within the CMNP
  - n How can a tourism model with low environmental impact in the CMNP be promoted?
  - n What strategies to implement for a low-impact tourism model in the CMNP?
  - n How to promote responsible tourism behavior for sustainable development?
9. What are the learning experiences for better sustainable ecotourism management? **The research questions were modified according to the reach of the presented result. This research is part of a larger project, so the questions of the general project were maintained, not those of this specific report**
10. Technical terms are defined but need to be explained appropriately **OK**

11. There are corrections identified above on the structure of the findings section **OK**
12. Language is appropriate for intended audience. **OK**
13. The chapter makes a significant contribution to the field and it presents new insights **OK**
14. Introduce practical implications for practitioners or policymakers. **It was introduced**
15. What are the future research directions suggested by the chapter? **It was introduced**
16. The following sentence not properly written: - **The sentence was briefly modified**  
n “...The new travel culture in the post-pandemic stage (Çakmak et al. 2023) should promote responsible travel (Kaefer 2022), and therefore a responsible tourist (Eichelberger et al. 2021).”
17. Summarize the key findings and implications on tourism behaviour in the new normal in the conclusion section. **It was introduced**
18. The following direct quotations should be cited properly: -  
n Elliot (2014 p. 1) **OK**  
n Zhang and Zhang (2020) **OK**  
n World Tourism Organization (UNWTO) 2013 **Done**  
n Jafari and Xiao **It was eliminated**  
n Chhetri and Chhetri (2022) **OK**  
n Cepollaro and Zanon 2022 **OK**  
n CONANP 2013 p. 112 **OK**
19. The following references are wrongly cited: -  
n UNEP – UNWTO 2005 **OK**  
n UNWTO Global Code of Ethics for Tourism (2020) **OK**
20. Indicate the location of publication for the following references:  
n Çakmak et al. 2023. **It was added**  
n Thwaites and Simkins (2007) **It was added**
21. There are two different references for Chhetri and Chhetri (2022). Kindly distinguish the two using a and b. **It was checked, there is only one reference with different citations in the text**
22. Indicate the Accronyms in full for: - **They were indicated the first time it was used in the text.**  
n CONANP 2013  
n CONANP 2016  
n DOF 2016  
n SEMARNAT 2016  
n SEMARNAT 2017  
n SERNATUR 2011  
n UNWTO (2013)
23. Indicate the dates of retrieval for online report sources **Done**
24. Indicate the page range for the following references: - **Done**  
n Eichelberger et al. 2021
25. The following citations are not referenced: - **It was modified**  
n Jafari and Xiao
26. The following references were not cited: **OK**  
Federal Agency for Nature Conservation. (22 January 2020). Soft tourism. <http://web01.bfn.cu.ennit.de/activities/tourism-and-sports/tourism/ecotourism/soft-tourism/?L=1> **It is included on page 3.**  
Naturalista. (2023). Parque Nacional Cumbres de Majalca - CONANP. <https://www.naturalista.mx/projects/parque-nacional-cumbres-de-majalca-conanp?tab=species> **It is included on page 6.**

All the Best,