

You sent

Part 1: Rankings Rankings are based on a 1 (lowest) through 8 (highest) scale. Practical managerial significance: 8 Appropriateness for this book: 8 Adequacy of literature review: 6 Adequacy of background: 6 Adequacy of analysis of issues: 6 Clarity of presentation: 7 Organization of chapter: 7 Part 2: Editorial Decisions Editorial status: Minor revisions necessary Copy-editing status: Requires minor copy-editing Part 3: Chapter Evaluation Based on your numerical rating in Part I, explain in detail how the chapter does or does not provide sufficient background information and literature review regarding its topic. Include in your assessment thoughts and recommendations as to how the author(s) can augment this area of the manuscript. I believe it is necessary to complement the theory the authors present by identifying similar alternative solutions. List and describe in detail any topic(s) or information related to the discussion in the chapter which appears to be missing. Please provide suggestions as to what topic(s) or information the author(s) can add to ensure that the scope of the chapter's contents is complete. Comparative table of similar solutions. The way in which the layers associated with IoT are integrated into the project needs to be described. The chapter mentions the sensors but does not identify how they are integrated into the solution. Please supply a detailed discussion as to whether or not the information in this chapter clearly illustrates the issues, problems, and trends related to the theme of this proposed book. Please offer your constructive and analytical assessment and list suggestions for improvement and/or enhancement. Improve the structure of the methodology by identifying the steps followed to implement the solution, from the assembly of the technology with the sensors and alexa, its implementation and testing. Please provide your opinion as to whether or not the issues, problems, and trends described in this chapter are given appropriate emphasis. Supply specific recommendations as to how the author(s) can improve in this area. Improve the structure of the methodology by identifying the steps followed to implement the solution, from the assembly of the technology with the sensors and alexa, its implementation and testing. Give an explanation of any serious over-emphasis or under-emphasis of any issues/problems in the chapter. Please provide critical and constructive assessment by offering suggestions as to how the chapter can be improved and enhanced in this area. With the proposed solution it is necessary to compare with similar solutions to indicate the points to be improved and those where this proposal stands out In your opinion, what are the weaknesses of this chapter? Please describe how these specific weaknesses contribute to the ineffective aspects of this chapter. We ask that you carefully list specific suggestions for improvement and/or enhancement. Authors should consider a minimum of 18 pages for the chapter proposal; they can complement the theory and improve the methodology. In your opinion, what are the strengths of this chapter? Please describe how these specific strengths contribute to the value and quality aspects of this chapter and how said strengths can be utilized to make the weak areas of the chapter more effective. The proposal can be applied to a large percentage of the population with asthma and not only to children. Please describe whether or not this chapter is properly directed to the proposed target audience of the book to which it was submitted. The target audience of this book is the general public, from people with little knowledge of technology to experts who can replicate the studies

presented in this book. Please describe your thoughts on the effectiveness of the organization of the chapter. How can the “flow” of this chapter be improved? Please be specific. Abstract Key words (missing) Introduction to the problem (missing the number of children with asthma and anxiety worldwide, for example) Theory related to similar solutions for this issue Methodology Development Results Discussion Conclusions Please provide your opinion as to whether or not the references used in this chapter are sufficient, appropriate, and up-to-date. If not, please suggest the relevant references you feel are necessary for the author(s) to include. The chapter requires minor corrections Part 4: Comments to the Author(s) 18 pages minimum Improve the methodology Compare the solution with other similar solutions Back to Evaluations
Enter

You sent

Part 1: Rankings Rankings are based on a 1 (lowest) through 8 (highest) scale. Practical managerial significance: 7 Appropriateness for this book: 8 Adequacy of literature review: 5 Adequacy of background: 4 Adequacy of analysis of issues: 6 Clarity of presentation: 7 Organization of chapter: 7 Part 2: Editorial Decisions Editorial status: Major revisions necessary Copy-editing status: Requires minor copy-editing Part 3: Chapter Evaluation Based on your numerical rating in Part I, explain in detail how the chapter does or does not provide sufficient background information and literature review regarding its topic. Include in your assessment thoughts and recommendations as to how the author(s) can augment this area of the manuscript. Previous studies or a review of similar works or a review of the literature are not presented. List and describe in detail any topic(s) or information related to the discussion in the chapter which appears to be missing. Please provide suggestions as to what topic(s) or information the author(s) can add to ensure that the scope of the chapter’s contents is complete. include similar work or analysis of works in relation to the one presented. Please supply a detailed discussion as to whether or not the information in this chapter clearly illustrates the issues, problems, and trends related to the theme of this proposed book. Please offer your constructive and analytical assessment and list suggestions for improvement and/or enhancement. It's appropriate Please provide your opinion as to whether or not the issues, problems, and trends described in this chapter are given appropriate emphasis. Supply specific recommendations as to how the author(s) can improve in this area. It's appropriate Give an explanation of any serious over-emphasis or under-emphasis of any issues/problems in the chapter. Please provide critical and constructive assessment by offering suggestions as to how the chapter can be improved and enhanced in this area. Show how your case impacts your environment. In your opinion, what are the weaknesses of this chapter? Please describe how these specific weaknesses contribute to the ineffective aspects of this chapter. We ask that you carefully list specific suggestions for improvement and/or enhancement. not presenting previous work or similar cases. In your opinion, what are the strengths of this chapter? Please describe how these specific strengths contribute to the value and quality aspects of this chapter and how said strengths can be utilized to make the weak areas of the chapter more effective. The case study is an important element Please describe whether or not this chapter is properly directed to the proposed target audience of the book to which it was submitted. It's appropriate Please describe your thoughts on the effectiveness of the organization of the chapter. How can the “flow” of this chapter be improved? Please be specific. It's appropriate Please provide your

opinion as to whether or not the references used in this chapter are sufficient, appropriate, and up-to-date. If not, please suggest the relevant references you feel are necessary for the author(s) to include. It does not present current references, so it must incorporate similar or state-of-the-art works. Part 4: Comments to the Author(s) 1. Standardize the reference system of the document. sets the period, and then quotes them in apa format, but without period dpeues. "security. (Rezvani, M., Fanaei, S., & Hassankhani, H.; 2019)" In other sections of the document use other types of references or citations that are not indicated for the publication, use numbering "constantly evolving and improving [11]." 2. The document must present a minimum of 7000 words. You must complete this action. 3 It is not presented in the format of the chapter. It does not mention in the text the tables or figures that it incorporates. 4. It does not present current references, for which reason it must incorporate similar or state-of-the-art works. 5. Take care of the images that you incorporate in regards to the source. 6. Incorporate some literature review or similar work. Back to Evaluations