

## Ivan Juan Carlos Perez Olguin

---

**From:** URIEL ANGEL GÓMEZ RIVERA  
**Sent:** Tuesday, August 16, 2022 9:40 PM  
**To:** Ivan Juan Carlos Perez Olguin  
**Subject:** Fwd: eScripts: Decision on your submission "Distribution route optimization using Floyd-Warshall weighted graph analysis algorithm with Google Maps integration in industry 4.0 context" (ID: 7197) in Innovation and Competitiveness in Industry 4.0 Based...

----- Mensaje reenviado -----

De: EAI Publishing <no-reply@eai.eu>

Fecha: 21 jul. 2022 9:32 a. m.

Asunto: eScripts: Decision on your submission "Distribution route optimization using Floyd-Warshall weighted graph analysis algorithm with Google Maps integration in industry 4.0 context" (ID: 7197) in Innovation and Competitiveness in Industry 4.0 Based on I...

Para: Ivan Juan Carlos Perez Olguin <ivan.perez@uacj.mx>

Cc: URIEL ANGEL GÓMEZ RIVERA <al199110@alumnos.uacj.mx>, Luis Asuncion Perez Dominguez <luis.dominguez@uacj.mx>



eScripts

Dear Luis Carlos Méndez-González,

We are pleased to inform you that your manuscript,

Paper ID: 7197

Title: Distribution route optimization using Floyd-Warshall weighted graph analysis algorithm with Google Maps integration in industry 4.0 context

Authors: Uriel Angel Gómez-Rivera, \*Iván Juan Carlos Pérez-Olguín, Luis Asunción Pérez-Domínguez, Luis Alberto Rodríguez-Picón, Luis Carlos Méndez-González.

has been accepted for publication in Innovation and Competitiveness in Industry 4.0 Based on Intelligent Systems. The reviewers' reports have been attached at the bottom of this email.

Please upload a final, camera-ready version as approved by Editor:

<https://escripts.eai.eu/paper/lists?accepted>

Please make sure that your paper follows the [instructions](#) and is in the correct [EAI Endorsed Transactions template](#). A manuscript in a different template will be sent back for correction.

After signing the copyright agreement we will proceed with the publication of your article.

Thank you for submitting your work to Innovation and Competitiveness in Industry 4.0 Based on Intelligent Systems.

**For your efforts as an Author, you receive 10 points based on the average review score of your submission as evaluated by Reviewers and supervised by the Handling Editor. These points count toward your EAI Index.**

EAI Index represents a revolution in recognizing excellence in research fairly and transparently, and it is a key element in annual nominations for the member ranks of EAI Fellows, Distinguished Members, and Senior Members. You can view your current EAI Index in your [user profile](#) at any time and gain more points by volunteering in other EAI conferences and journals, or by submitting papers to these research venues:

- Bid to Review recently submitted papers in [Community Review](#)
- See [open positions](#) in conferences and journals
- Explore [conferences](#) and [journals](#) open for submission

To learn more about EAI's transparent research recognition program, please visit the [EAI website](#).

Best Regards,

Publication Department | European Alliance for Innovation  
publications@eai.eu | www.eai.eu

Reviewers comments:

\*\*\* REFEREE 3 REPORT \*\*\*

=====

\*\* Below you can find comments on each criterions \*\*

-----  
----  
1 . Novelty of the contribution

Second round review, the document meets all criteria placed in previous comments (first round review).

2 . Innovation impact of the proposed concept

Second round review, the document meets all criteria placed in previous comments (first round review).

3 . Technical content and correctness of the contribution

Second round review, the document meets all criteria placed in previous comments (first round review).

4 . Importance of the manuscript for the thematic area

Second round review, the document meets all criteria placed in previous comments (first round review).

5 . Implementation potential of the proposed concept

Second round review, the document meets all criteria placed in previous comments (first round review).

6 . Completeness of the references

Second round review, the document meets all criteria placed in previous comments (first round review).

7 . Quality of the writing

Second round review, the document meets all criteria placed in previous comments (first round review).

**\*\* General comments by referee 3 to Author\*\***

-----  
----  
Recommendations placed in the first round review attended.

**\*\*\* REFEREE 3 REPORT \*\*\***

=====

**\*\* Below you can find comments on each criterions \*\***

-----  
----  
1 . Importance of the manuscript for the thematic area

Second round review, the document meets all criteria placed in previous comments (first round review).

2 . Novelty of the contribution

Second round review, the document meets all criteria placed in previous comments (first round review).

3 . Innovation impact of the proposed concept

Second round review, the document meets all criteria placed in previous comments (first round review).

4 . Technical content and correctness of the contribution

Second round review, the document meets all criteria placed in previous comments (first round review).

5 . Implementation potential of the proposed concept

Second round review, the document meets all criteria placed in previous comments (first round review).

6 . Completeness of the references

Second round review, the document meets all criteria placed in previous comments (first round review).

7 . Quality of the writing

Second round review, the document meets all criteria placed in previous comments (first round review).

**\*\* General comments by referee 3 to Author\*\***

-----  
----

Recommendations placed in the first round review attended.

**\*\*\* REFEREE 1 REPORT \*\*\***

=====

**\*\* Below you can find comments on each criterions \*\***

-----  
----

1 . Novelty of the contribution

...

2 . Innovation impact of the proposed concept

...

3 . Technical content and correctness of the contribution

...

4 . Importance of the manuscript for the thematic area

...

5 . Implementation potential of the proposed concept

...

6 . Completeness of the references

...

7 . Quality of the writing

...

\*\* General comments by referee 1 to Author\*\*

-----

----

\*\*\* REFEREE 3 REPORT \*\*\*

=====

\*\* Below you can find comments on each criterions \*\*

-----

----

1 . Novelty of the contribution

Second round review, the document meets all criteria placed in previous comments (first round review).

2 . Innovation impact of the proposed concept

Second round review, the document meets all criteria placed in previous comments (first round review).

3 . Technical content and correctness of the contribution

Second round review, the document meets all criteria placed in previous comments (first round review).

4 . Importance of the manuscript for the thematic area

Second round review, the document meets all criteria placed in previous comments (first round review).

5 . Implementation potential of the proposed concept

Second round review, the document meets all criteria placed in previous comments (first round review).

6 . Completeness of the references

Second round review, the document meets all criteria placed in previous comments (first round review).

7 . Quality of the writing

Second round review, the document meets all criteria placed in previous comments (first round review).

**\*\* General comments by referee 3 to Author\*\***

-----

----

Recommendations placed in the first round review attended.

**\*\*\* REFEREE 1 REPORT \*\*\***

=====

**\*\* Below you can find comments on each criterions \*\***

-----

----

1 . Novelty of the contribution

...

2 . Innovation impact of the proposed concept

...

3 . Technical content and correctness of the contribution

...

4 . Importance of the manuscript for the thematic area

...

5 . Implementation potential of the proposed concept

...

6 . Completeness of the references

...

7 . Quality of the writing

...

**\*\* General comments by referee 1 to Author\*\***

-----  
----

**\*\*\* REFEREE 2 REPORT \*\*\***

=====

**\*\* Below you can find comments on each criterions \*\***

-----  
----

1 . Novelty of the contribution  
Really with high novel content.

2 . Innovation impact of the proposed concept  
Good impact on innovation.

3 . Technical content and correctness of the contribution  
Good technical content and according to innovation.

4 . Importance of the manuscript for the thematic area  
Current topic right now.

5 . Implementation potential of the proposed concept  
Good time and application opportunity.

6 . Completeness of the references  
Appropriate and correct.

7 . Quality of the writing  
Very good, no additional remarks.

**\*\* General comments by referee 2 to Author\*\***

-----  
----

Excellent work and very good opportunity with the  
current themes, congratulations.

**\*\*\* REFEREE 2 REPORT \*\*\***

=====

**\*\* Below you can find comments on each criterions \*\***

-----

----

1 . Novelty of the contribution  
Really with high novel content.

2 . Innovation impact of the proposed concept  
Good impact on innovation.

3 . Technical content and correctness of the contribution  
good technical content and according to innovation

4 . Importance of the manuscript for the thematic area  
Current topic right now.

5 . Implementation potential of the proposed concept  
good time and application opportunity.

6 . Completeness of the references  
appropriate and correct

7 . Quality of the writing  
very good, no additional remarks.

\*\* General comments by referee 2 to Author\*\*

-----

----

Excellent work and very good opportunity with the  
current themes, congratulations.

\*\*\* REFEREE 1 REPORT \*\*\*

=====

\*\* Below you can find comments on each criterions \*\*

-----

----

1 . Novelty of the contribution

...

2 . Innovation impact of the proposed concept

...

3 . Technical content and correctness of the contribution

...



4 . Importance of the manuscript for the thematic area

...

5 . Implementation potential of the proposed concept

...

6 . Completeness of the references

...

7 . Quality of the writing

...

\*\* General comments by referee 1 to Author\*\*

-----

----

\*\*\* REFEREE 2 REPORT \*\*\*

=====

\*\* Below you can find comments on each criterions \*\*

-----

----

1 . Novelty of the contribution

Really with high novel content.

2 . Innovation impact of the proposed concept

Good impact on innovation.

3 . Technical content and correctness of the contribution

Good technical content and according to innovation

4 . Importance of the manuscript for the thematic area

Current topic right now.

5 . Implementation potential of the proposed concept

Good time and application opportunity.

6 . Completeness of the references

Appropriate and correct

7 . Quality of the writing

Very good, no additional remarks.

**\*\* General comments by referee 2 to Author\*\***

-----

----

Excellent work and very good opportunity with the current themes, congratulations.

eScripts is part of

